

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

*T D*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/886,044 06/30/97 BHATTACHARJEE

A 71007/137

HM22/1108

EXAMINER

FOLEY & LARDNER  
WASHINGTON HARBOUR  
3000 K STREET NW  
SUITE 500  
WASHINGTON DC 20007-5109

DEVI, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1645

*43*

**DATE MAILED:**

11/08/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**


Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

<b>Notification of Non-Compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c)</b>	Application No. <b>08/886,044</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Bhattacharjee et al.</b>
	Examiner <b>S. Devi, Ph.D.</b>	Group Art Unit <b>1645</b>

The Appeal Brief filed on Jul 24, 2000 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1205.

Applicant is given a TIME LIMIT of ONE MONTH from the date of this letter or any time remaining in the period under 37 CFR 1.192(a) for filing a new complete brief. If a new brief that fully complies with 37 CFR 1.192(c) is not timely submitted, the appeal will be dismissed. The new complete brief must be filed IN TRIPPLICATE. See 37 CFR 1.192(a).

1.  The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.  The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.  At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.  The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.  The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.  A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
  - a.  the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
  - b.  the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief. See 9(A).
7.  The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.  The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.  Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
  - A. *The brief states under section VII that the claims do not stand or fall together, but does not provide the basis of patentability of each claim not grouped together.*
  - B. *The brief does not provide the statutory basis of rejection of record.*

  
**LYNETTE R. F. SMITH**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600**